

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,342	11/06/2003	Joris Briers	. 59102US002	8096
32692	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNOV	ATIVE PROPERTIES	MULCAHY, PETER D		
PO BOX 33 ST. PAUL,	427 MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
DATE MAILED, 00000000			•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	`			
Office Action Comments	10/702,342	BRIERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. ely filed the mailing date of this communication O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No	ovember 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	·	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	:				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	·	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	<u> </u>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	:				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		;				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e itent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/04&4/18/05</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/702,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duchesne et al. US 5,015,693 or Blong et al. US 5,830,947.
- 4. Each of the cited patents shows processing aids for thermoplastic hydrocarbon polymers, see the abstract of each patent. The poly(oxyalkylene)polymer is shown in Blong at column 9 lines 33+ and Duchesne at column 4 lines 27+. These patents further show fluoropolymers used in combination with the poly(oxyalkylene)polymers as process aids at column 10 lines 28+ of Blong et al. and Duchesne et al. at column 3 lines 60+. The difference between the cited art and the claimed invention is the monomeric percentage of the flurorpolymer is not expressly stated in the art. The monomeric percentage of vinylidene fluoride as claimed is rendered obvious from the

Art Unit: 1713

art which suggests a majority of vinylidene fluoride monomer at column 4 lines 24+ of Duchesne et al. and column 7 lines 34+.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner

pdm 9/27/05